IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSE JIMENEZ, : CIVIL ACTION

Petitioner, : NO. 08-0427

:

v.

•

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CRIMINAL ACTION

: NO. 04-63

Respondent.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 8th day of May 2009, upon consideration of the petition for writ of habeas corpus (doc. no. 63), it is hereby ORDERED that the petition is DENIED.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{IT IS FURTHER ORDERED} & \textbf{that the case shall be marked} \\ \textbf{CLOSED.} \end{tabular}$

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

A prisoner seeking a certificate of appealability must demonstrate "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). "A petitioner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that jurists of reason could disagree with the district court's resolution of his constitutional claims or that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003). No basis for a certificate of appealability exists in this case, as Petitioner is unable to meet this standard.